1. Introduction

Attractiveness of programming in scripting languages can arguably be attributed to language features, removal of responsibilities from the programmer, and a rich execution environment. Attractive language features include dynamic typing and type inference supporting generic and less verbose code. Dynamic, managed memory, and garbage collection removes the error-prone tasks of allocating, re-allocating and freeing memory from the programmer. Interactive interpreters facilitate experimentation and rapid application development. The lack of features such as parallel language constructs gives the programmer straightforward sequential semantics without concern to the hazards related with parallel execution. Scripting languages are often labeled as high-level since they remove these responsibilities from the programmer, allowing for code to evolve around manipulating abstractions closer to the application domain.

The price for these conveniences is often paid with lowered hardware utilization since the programmer only expresses what is to be computed not how to compute it. With a lack of control and no means of obtaining it, it becomes the task of the interpreter to map high-level application code to hardware efficiently.

One approach is to rely on language interoperability to increase application performance. Using Python as an example, the CPython interpreter allows for interoperability with C/C++, either via language extensions or by providing access to libraries. Python has seen widespread use and popularity using this approach, specifically the NumPy/SciPy/PyPython software stack gives the programmer a rich interactive environment for scientific computing. This is achieved by maintaining high-level abstractions and enabling significant performance improvements by implementing the computationally demanding portions in C and providing access to them via high-level data structures and operations upon them.

This becomes more challenging (or important) as efficient utilization of hardware becomes increasingly complex with continuing developments in hardware architectures such as increasing core counts on CPUs with NUMA architectures, distinct address spaces in accelerators such as GPUs, MICs, and FPGAs. Interpreters are rarely able to keep up with the developments in hardware, and the abstractions provided by scripting languages will fail to deliver the potential use of available hardware. At this point, the need arises to enable the programmer to peel off layers of the language abstractions and take control.

Current approaches for doing so in Python include writing Python extensions, using SWIG or ctypes. In addition low-level APIs such as pyCUDA, pyOpenCL, pyMIC, and MPI4PY can be used.

These approaches successfully provide the means of recovering the majority of the lost performance and have contributed to Python’s popularity in HPC environments serving as a steering-language for scientific computing. Language interoperability is the driver for the realization of these tools and libraries.

However, they come at the price of sacrificing all of the conveniences described earlier. The following sections introduce a different approach to foreign-function interfaces and interface generation which leverages the advantages of interoperability without sacrificing the attractive qualities of the scripting language.

2. Approach

The general idea is to provide a less verbose foreign function interface (FFI) by using introspection on the function definition and function decoration to construct a function prototype which can either be implemented inline, from file, or mapped to a library.

In order to maintain the high-level abstractions, the foreign language must support them. C does not meet this requirement, however, Chapel provides an ideal target. It supports high-level operations on arrays and also supports peeling off layers of the abstraction allowing the programmer to take control if they have sufficient motivation for doing so.
A prototype implementation named pyChapel\(^1\) serves to illustrate the approach. pyChapel consists of a foreign function interface and a module compiler / interface generator.

The idea is that a computationally expensive function such as the one in figure 1 can be rewritten in a foreign language or mapped to a library.

The raw foreign function body can be provided inline, from file or by mapping it to an existing library. When using pyChapel in this manner, Chapel code will be dynamically generated and compiled at runtime. Machinery within pyChapel lowers compilation overhead by re-using previously compiled code.

PyChapel also provides a module-compiler, compiling Chapel modules into Python modules as illustrated in figure 3.

```plaintext
module HelloLib {
    export
    proc hello_caller() {
        writeln("Hi Caller, I am Chapel, pleased to meet you.");
    }
    export
    proc add_ints(x: int, y: int) : int {
        return add_everything(x, y);
    }
    proc add_everything(x, y) {
        return x + y;
    }
}
# pych --compile hellolib.chpl
```

Figure 3. Source code of the Chapel HelloLib module and command to compile it into a Python module.

The module can then be accessed from Python as illustrated in figure 4.

```plaintext
from hellolib import hello_caller, add_ints
```

```plaintext
if __name__ == "__main__":
    hello_caller()
    print add_ints(2, 3)
```

Figure 4. Accessing Chapel module HelloLib from Python.

When using the pyChapel module compiler, code will be generated and compiled prior to runtime.

3. Results

Two synthetic Python applications, hereafter referred to as finance and scicomp, were used to get an initial impression of the performance gained by mapping the computationally expensive portion of the application code to Chapel. The code can inspected in the pyChapel online documentation\(^2\). Two versions of each application were implemented, a reference implementation and a modification mapping the expensive functions (simulation and quant) to Chapel using techniques illustrated in figure 1 and figure 2. The rewrites were implemented without any explicit parallelism in order to maintain the abstraction-level of the code.

The machine executing was a laptop with 6GB of memory with an Intel i5-2410M CPU @ 2.3GHz CPU with two physical cores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wall-clock time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>finance</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finance + pyChapel</td>
<td>28.3**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

The experimental prototype pyChapel proposes a new approach to foreign function interfaces and interface generation for Python. The primary target for the prototype is Chapel, however, C is currently also supported, and further work can with little effort expand support for any language capable of interoperating with C. Chapel is the primary target as it maintains the attractive qualities of the scripting languages such as high-level array operations for scientific computing. Initial results indicate that the performance improvement of targeting Chapel is equivalent to that of targeting C.
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